

PCSB Early Childhood PMF Task Force Mission Specific Committee June 10, 2014 9:30 am – 11:30 am Minutes

Mission Specific Committee Objectives:

- 1. Discuss and formulize business rules for mission specific goals (proposal)
- 2. Discuss and formulize business rules for mission specific floors and targets
- 3. Present business rules to task force 2014-15 for EC PMF implementation 2015-16

Attendees:

Erin Kupferberg, Charlie Sellew, PCSB
Anne Malone, AppleTree
Lisa Luceno, Cara Sklar, Briya
Robin Bessler, Capital City
Josh Boots, Center City
Molly France, Nayamka Long, Creative Minds
Kenli Okada, EL Haynes
Jessica Smith, Excel
Irene Holtzman, KIPP
Kenneth Wright, Meridian
Kristen Scotchmer, Mundo Verde

Agenda:

- 1. Welcome
- 2. Look at proposed MSG Application and Proposal
 - a. Discuss changes/modifications
 - i. Changes to the application:
 - 1. Need to include and define additional terms- measure, metric,
 - 2. Include at least 1 year of baseline scores, can also include national data as baseline score, show that tool is valid and reliable.
 - 3. Ask schools to elaborate longitudinally what is the plan for the future 3- 5 years.

- 4. 3 year commitment to having goal must go to the panel for a request to remove the goal.
- 5. Goal can be removed do to extenuating circumstances- publisher stopped publishing assessment. Change of leadership is not an extenuating circumstance. Charter amendment to change mission may be an extenuating circumstance.

ii. Changes to Rubric:

- 1. 3 point rubric- mostly 3's able to negotiate floors and targets, may need some adjusting, mostly 2's rework items but may resubmit this year, mostly 1's work over next year and resubmit then.
- 2. For the rubric, use overall categories and the language in there now to define the criteria
- Maybe have three overall areas to answer Goal, Tool, and Implementation. All questions fall under these areas, as sub-areas.
 May help to organize rubric
- 4. What is the panel's role, need criteria for the panel.

3. Floor/Targets Discussion

- a. Work to develop business rules to guide setting of floor and target. Here are some options.
 - i. 0-100 not as well liked though there may be goals where this makes sense.
 - ii. 10th and 90th percent this was the best received guideline to develop targets. Schools not performing well would only get a few points. Schools doing really well could get 8-10 points on this measure which is a tier 1 set of points.
 - 1. One member wanted to see the floor set at the average level (50%) so schools could not start receiving points unless they were at least average of their goal. The argument from others was that if schools are going to take time away from reading and math to focus on a MSG, this is hard to do and still do well on everything. For some schools, it may be hard over time to do MSG and other subjects well.

iii. The goal could be adjusted over time if it is an implementation type goal.But the floor and target should stay constant.

4. Timeline

- a. June 2014- Erin will rework the application and rubric to reflect today's discussion
- b. July 2014- Erin will announce opportunity for schools to submit a EC MSG for review. Goal will not necessarily be displayed on the PMF if approved, but schools can opt into this for 14-15 only.
- c. Fall 2014 Committee will meet to work through rubric with applied schoolsthis is the opportunity to adjust the application/rubric as needed.
- d. Spring 2015- Committee will present full proposal to task force with application, rubric and timeline. Task force will need to vote on whether to include for points or display only. Committee can choose whether to give the full task force this option.
- e. Summer 2015- Schools submit their MSG application for panel review panel reviews rubric and submits analysis to PCSB. If to be approved for points, PCSB finalizes negotiations of floors and targets and submits goal to PCSB board for vote in August.