DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL BOARD

Charter Actions Requiring a Vote	Non-Voting Board Items
☐ Approve a Charter Application	Public Hearing Item
☐ Approve a Charter Renewal (15 yrs.)	☐ Discussion Item
☐ Approve Charter Continuance (5 or 10 yr	s.) 🗌 Read into Record
Approve a Charter Amendment Request	, —
Approve a Charter Agreement	
Give a Charter Notice of Concern	
Lift the Charter Notice of Concern	
Commence Charter Revocation Proceeding	ngs
Revoke a Charter	
Board Action, Other	
<u> </u>	
Policies	
Open a New Policy or Changes to a Policy	for Public Comment
Approve a New Policy	
Approve Revisions to an Existing Policy	
,	
PREPARED BY: Erin Kupferberg, Senior Ma	anager School Quality and
Accountability	

2017-18 PMF Policy & Technical Guide, Open for Public SUBJECT:

Comment

DATE: June 19, 2017

Proposal

The DC Public Charter School Board (DC PCSB) staff recommends that the Board vote to open the 2017-18 Performance Management Framework Policy & Technical Guide (PMF Guide) for public comment from June 19, 2017 through July 19, 2017. DC PCSB will hold a public hearing on July 17, 2017 at its public meeting. Any public comment received during the public comment period will be taken into consideration prior to the vote.

Written comments may be submitted by mail or emailed to:

DC Public Charter School Board 3333 14th Street NW, Suite 210 Washington, DC 20010 Public.comment@dcpcsb.org

The board will vote on this PMF Guide at its next public meeting, and will then hold a supplemental vote in November to add to the PMF Guide those floors and targets calculated that include 2016-17 results. At this point the 2017-18 PMF Guide will be final¹.

¹ When the business rules for calculating the floor or target requires using 2016-17 results and the final verified results are not yet available, the PMF Policy & Technical Guide contains the business rule and a placeholder marker "*" in place of a numerical value.

Summary of proposed changes to the PMF Guide

The 2017-18 PMF Guide includes all calculations and business rules for the four PMFs: Pre-kindergarten to Grade Eight (PK-8), High School (HS), Adult Education (AE), and Alternative Accountability Framework (AAF).

DC PCSB staff proposed changes to school representatives and charter support organizations during PMF task force meetings (dates and presented information listed at the end of the memo). The task force discussed and school representatives voted on the changes. The results of the task force votes are listed as part of each proposal. Generally, when two-thirds of the task force votes in favor of a change, staff proposes the change. When the majority of the task force is in favor (51.0%-66.5%), staff proposes the change with an explanation as to why. When the majority of task force members are not in favor of the change staff generally does not recommend the change to the Board. However, there are a few cases where staff is recommending the change contrary to the task force members' recommendation. In these cases, staff is providing a thorough explanation.

DC PCSB staff also conducted an analysis of the impact all changes would have on scores and Tiers. See Appendixes A-C for impact analyses.

The proposal has three sections: Section A lists the changes that each have a larger impact (an average change of -1.0 or more on PMF scores compared to 2015-16) or was not supported by the task force majority. Section B lists the changes that each has a minor impact (an average change of less than -1.0 on the PMF scores as compared to the 2015-16 scores) and are supported by at least a majority of the task force. Section C lists clarifications made to business rules of the PMF but, as there was no change proposed, were not voted on by task force members.

The largest change that has the biggest impact on schools changing scores is in response to the city's switch to PARCC three years ago. To bridge from DC CAS to PARCC, the floor was set not at the bottom 10^{th} percentile but at zero for six measures:

- Approaching College and Career Ready and Above for English language arts (ELA) (i.e. earning a 3 or higher on the PARCC)
- Approaching College and Career Ready and Above for math
- College and Career Ready for ELA (i.e. earning a 4 or higher on PARCC)
- College and Career Ready for math
- Gateway Outcomes for 3rd grade in ELA (i.e. earning a 4 or higher on PARCC)
- Gateway Outcome for 8th grade in math

The floor was set for three years to allow schools to adjust to the new state assessment and provide staff with three years of achievement data to use to create floors. As per the original plan, DC PCSB staff suggests the original business rule of setting the floor for these achievement measures at the bottom $10^{\rm th}$ percentile. However, due to the impact of this change, DC PCSB asked task force members if the change should be done in one year or be implemented incrementally over the next three years. The task force voted for an incremental increase. Because this change in floors alone would have caused a large negative change in scores for the

average school, staff is also recommending that the target move from its current aspirational target to the top 90th percentile of performance for these College and Career Ready (earning a 4 or higher on PARCC) Achievement and Gateway measures. This change will mitigate the impact of the changes. The target for the Approaching College and Career Ready and Above (earning a 3 or higher on PARCC) will remain aspirational at 100%, per the original design of the PMF. The task force members did not discuss the change in targets for the College and Career Ready and Gateway measures as this was done by staff.

Staff is requesting the Board vote on changes to the organization and weights of the HS PMF. In the switch to PARCC three years ago, staff engaged school leaders in deeper discussions around re-aligning the framework to put more weight on HS outcomes such as SAT, college, and career, and less on a single test given in tenth grade. Staff and task force members seek to highlight the work schools are doing to fully prepare students to be successful beyond high school. The points were adjusted to measure growth and/or achievement in every grade, but to culminate with more points on college and career ready measures in grade twelve.

For a list of all changes please see below in order of most impactful to least by framework type.

By Framework Type

Framework	Proposed Change	Average Impact on Schools	Impact Spread	Schools in Favor
All	Change Indicator to Category	N/A- no change to weight, floor, or target	N/A	N/A
PK-8	All proposed changes combined	-0.7	-6.6 to +2.6	N/A
PK-8	Increase PARCC Floors- 3.3rd percentile ²	-1.0	-6.6 to +2.1	66.7%
PK-8	Weigh PARCC Levels 3+ and 4+ Equal	-0.3	-0.9 to +0.4	66.6%
PK-8	Remove Outliers for Assessment Measures	+0.3	0.0 to +1.3	100%
PK-8 (ONLY 7 schools impacted in 2015-16)	MGP Weighs Half for Year 1	+1.7 ³	-5.0 to 8.3	71.4%

 $^{^2}$ The current floor of zero was a two-year transitional floor. Instead of moving immediately back to the 10^{th} percentile, the task force voted to incrementally move over the next three years. The floor set at the 3.3^{rd} percentile is year 1 of the three-year transition back to the normal business rule. PCSB also recommends the target be set back to the 90^{th} percentile which is included in the analysis.

³ This impact analysis only includes the seven school where this change was applicable in 2015-16. All other impact analyses include all schools.

PK-8 (ONLY 2 new and growing schools impacted)	Use NWEA When Leading Class is too Small for MGP	N/A- not applicable until 2016-17	N/A	66.6%
PK-8	Use Spring-to-Spring Growth for NWEA	N/A Not measurable	N/A	68.8%

HS	All proposed changes combined	+1.2	-4.5 to +6.9	N/A
HS	Set 9 th Grade on Track and ACGR floor to 67% and target to 90%	-0.1	-1.5 to +1.3	37.5%
HS	Increase PARCC Floors- 3.3 rd percentile ²	+0.6	-1.6 to +2.6	87.5%
HS	Include College and Career Ready SAT/ACT Measures	+0.7	-2.3 to 3.9	87.5%
HS	Remove Outliers for Assessment Measures	+0.7	0.0 to +2.1	100%
HS	Adjust Weights	+0.7	-2.3 to +3.9	75.0%
HS	Move 9 th Grade on Track to Gateway	N/A - no change to weight, floor, or target	N/A	62.5%
HS	Transition to Redesigned PSAT and SAT	N/A - no change to weight, floor, or target	N/A	100%
HS	Remove IEP Certificate Track students from College Going Measures	N/A – No change to weight, floors, or targets	N/A	100%
HS	Remove CTE Completer and Add CTE Certification to AP/IB/DE	N/A- Schools have not opted into CTE at this time	N/A	85.7% 62.5%

Adult Education	All proposed changes	N/A	N/A	N/A
AE	Student Progress Post-Test 60% of Eligible Students	1 school would receive 0 points	No change in overall Tier	87.5%
AE	Set GED Attainment Floor at 40%	2 schools would change Tier in Achievement	No change in overall Tier	29.0%
AE	ABE Level 5 and below students pass GED, count for progress	N/A	N/A	87.5%
AE	High Level Certification Display Only	N/A- no change to weight, floor, or target	N/A	100%

Overall Impact:

As shown in the table above, and in deeper detail in section A, the impact of all the proposed changes will cause the average PK-8 campus to lose **0.7 points** and the average high school campus to gain **1.2 points**. One school in the PK-8 PMF would see a drop of 6.6 points and the largest gain is +2.6 points if they scored the same on every measure this year as they did last year. For high schools, the maximum drop is 4.5 points and gain is +6.9. The overall impact analysis of all changes is shown in <u>Appendix A</u> which shows the impact change for every campus when all the proposed revisions are made.

However, most of the impact comes from just one proposed change, raising all PARCC floors (both Approaching College and Career Ready and College and Career Ready measures) from 0 and changing Achievement and Gateway College and Career Ready measures (earning a 4 or higher on PARCC) to the 90th percentile of performance, which produces an average change of -1.0 in PK-8 and +0.6 in HS, with five PK-8 schools dropping tiers and zero schools gaining a tier. No high school changes a tier with this proposed change. Conversely, the average impact on schools when implementing <u>all</u> the minor impact changes for the PK-8 PMF is **+0.2 points** and for the HS PMF **+0.7 points**.

No adult education schools would change a Tier compared to 2015-16 results when all the proposed revisions are made. One school would earn a lower score for a single category and another for two categories. Appendix C shows the impact of the two changes proposed for the AE framework for all schools.

A. <u>Larger Impact Changes (Average Impact of -1.0 or Greater) or Not Supported by the Majority of the Task Force Vote</u>

PK-8 and High School

A1. Achievement: A) Incrementally increase the floors on all PARCC measures (from 0 to the tenth percentile of school results) over the next three years (Year 1: 3.3rd percentile, Year 2: 6.6th percentile, Year 3: 10th percentile) B) Change the target business rule for College and Career Ready (scoring level 4 and higher on PARCC) measures to the 90th percentile.

Rationale: The floors of most measures on the PMF are set at the bottom tenth percentile of performance based on the previous three years of data. However, in school year 2014-15, when DC transitioned to PARCC as the annual state assessment, DC PCSB and the Task Force set the Achievement and PK-8 Gateway categories' floors equal to zero as a transitional two-year floor (as stated in the 2014-15 and 2015-16 PMF Policy & Technical Guides), with a caveat that the floor would be raised to the bottom 10th percentile after DC PCSB had three years of data. DC PCSB kept the floors for all PARCC measures equal to zero for a third year (2016-17). Due to the impact of this change on all schools, DC PCSB staff proposed an incremental shift in the floors to decrease dramatic changes in a single year.

Additionally, staff is now proposing to move the College and Career Ready targets (earning a 4 and higher on PARCC) to the 90th percentile. The current business rule for the College and Career Ready PARCC measures' target is: ((100-90th percentile)*.25+90th percentile). This business rule was approved when the floor was set at zero three years ago and the state transitioned to PARCC. Since staff is recommending the floor be moved to the lowest 10th percentile of performance over three years, staff also believes that the target should move from aspirational to the top 90th percentile of performance for College and Career Ready measures, but that this should happen in year one. Approaching College and Career Ready (earning a 3 and higher on PARCC) will maintain the aspirational target of 100, per the original design of the PMF.

Note: The task force was not given the option to keep the floors at zero as the Board had established that the floor would be set at the 10^{th} percentile when the PMF was developed and again when the assessment changed from DC CAS to PARCC. The task force was also not given the option to decrease the target for the 4+ measure to 90^{th} percentile, either. The task force vote was to determine if the jump to 10^{th} percentile should be incremental or at once.

Summary of changes:

Measure	Previous Floor	Proposed Floor	Previous Target	Proposed Target
Achievement: Approaching College and Career Ready for ELA and math (level 3 and higher)	0	10 th percentile	100	No Change
Achievement: College and Career Ready for ELA and math (level 4 and higher)	0	10 th percentile	(100-90 th percentile) *.25+90 th percentile	90 th percentile
Gateway: College and Career Ready 3 rd Grade ELA and 8 th Grade math (level 4 and higher)	0	10 th percentile	(100-90 th percentile) *.25+90 th percentile	90 th percentile

<u>A) PK-8 Vote:</u> The task force voted in favor (66.7%) of incrementally increasing the floors over the next three years until reaching the 10th percentile, rather than immediately increasing the floors to the 10th percentile.

<u>HS Vote:</u> The task force voted in favor (87.5%) of incrementally increasing the floors over the next three years until reaching the 10^{th} percentile, rather than immediately increasing the floors to the 10^{th} percentile.

<u>B)</u> The task forces did not vote on moving the target to the 90th percentile. Staff is making this recommendation after viewing the impact analysis for change A. The task force was notified prior to the opening for public comment and asked to vote at that time. The vote will be included in the memo for the PMF Guide vote.

Impact: Compared to 2015-16 scores, the average change for PK-8 schools is -1.0 points and the average change for high schools is +0.6%. Outliers were removed prior to calculating the floors and targets in the impact analysis, as this was discussed and 100% approved by the task force. (See section B1.) Overall, five PK-8 schools and zero high schools change Tier because of this one change. Five PK-8 schools and zero high schools would experience a significant change in score if they scored the same in 2017-18 as they did in 2015-16 (over 5 percentage points) and 24 PK-8 schools and nine high schools will have a gain in score. The following analysis shows how this change will impact each campus, controlling for all other variables. Link: Impact Analysis Tabs 1 and 2

Note: The PMF Guide states that a floor will not change more than 33.3% in a given year. If the change to move the floors for this measure will be more than 33.3%, the floor will be capped at 33.3%.

High School PMF

A2. Gateway: Increase the floors for both 4-year and 5-year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate (ACGR) and 9th Grade on Track measures to 67% from 52.3%, 65.3% and 56.4%, respectively. Lower the Target for 4-year ACGR and 9th Grade on Track to from 100% to 90%.

Rationale: The federal ACGR target is 67% for all schools; DC PCSB staff believes that schools should not earn points for failing to graduate 67% of their population in four years. However, DC PCSB staff also believes that schools should not be encouraged to graduate students who are not career and college ready, and therefore suggests that the floor be increased to 67.0% and the target be lowered from 100% to the 90%. This change would allow schools with a 90% or higher graduation rate to earn all the PMF points, granting schools the flexibility to retain up to 10% of their cohort for a fifth year to ensure they are prepared for post-secondary opportunities.

HS Vote: DC PCSB did <u>not</u> receive the necessary two-thirds majority nor a simple majority; only 37.5% of the task force members voted in favor of this change. However, DC PCSB staff request that the Board move forward with the proposed changes and assert the floor for these measures should align with the federal target. In addition, the floors and targets will be set and not change every two years.

Impact: The impact analysis shows an average change for high school to be a loss of just a tenth of a point. Most high schools have ACGRs well above 67% and some above 90%. This change does not adversely impact the high schools and sends a consistent message that aligns with both the proposed new State rating system and our core values. Overall, one school changes Tier because of this one change. Zero schools would experience a significant change in score if they scored the same in 2017-18 as they did in 2015-16 (over 5 percentage points) and eight will have a slight gain in score. The following analysis shows how this change will impact each campus, controlling for all other variables. Link: Impact Analysis

<u>NOTE:</u> Currently all but two public charter high schools have a graduation rate above 67%.

Adult Education PMF

A3. Progress: Adopt a post-test participation rate business rule requiring schools to post-test at least 60% of eligible students. Schools that do not meet the 60% participation rate will receive zero points on the Progress category.

<u>Rationale:</u> DC PCSB expects all students enrolled in adult basic education (ABE) or English language acquisition programs to take National Reporting

System-approved assessments. The validity of the progress category will increase as all schools will be held to the same standard. DC PCSB staff originally proposed implementing a 66.7% post-test participation rate (based off 2015-16 post-test rates). Staff adjusted the rate down to 60.0% following feedback from the AE task force. All but one school currently preand post-tests over 60.0% of their students.

<u>AE Vote:</u> 87.5% of the task force supported adopting a 60% post-test business rule.

<u>Impact:</u> When applied to the 2015-16 PMF, only one of eight of the AE schools would receive zero points on the Progress category as they post-tested fewer than 60% of their eligible students but the school's overall Tier would not change because they scored below 35% on this category in 2015-16 (<u>Appendix C</u>).

A4. Achievement: Change the Earned Secondary Credential floor to 40.0%.

<u>Rationale</u>: The intent of this measure is to assess the effectiveness of each school's adult basic education (ABE) program. It is designed to capture in the denominator only those students who are "ready" to pass the GED and take the all four subject tests, and in the numerator, those who pass the GED, thereby eliminating students who do not yet have the basic skills to pass the GED. Because cohort is limited to those "ready" to take the GED, GED Achievement rates for schools are high, ranging from 75% to 100%.

However, the current floor for this measure is set at 0. The floor was set at 0 for this measure because at the time we did not have enough data to set a floor at the 10th percentile or equivalent. Additionally, DC PCSB did not have a standardized way of determining which students should be counted as ready to take the GED. With the introduction of the GED Ready assessment, along with correlation studies between the GED Ready and the GED itself, staff now has the needed information, and recommends that the floor be raised from 0 to 40.0.

(a) Impact of previously approved denominator on scores
Originally, the 2015-16 denominator for this measure included
students who took all four GED subject tests (English, math, science,
and social studies) in the program year but for the 2016-17 PMF, the
Task Force recommended and the Board approved a new denominator
for this measure to include students who test "likely to pass" on all
four sections of the GED Ready⁴ assessment and take the GED subject
tests. Since then, we have learned through the publisher's guidelines
that 70% of students who earn the lowest score in the "likely to pass"
range on the GED Ready subject test pass the corresponding GED
subject test. The higher the GED Ready score, the higher the

⁴ The GED Ready is a practice test which tells students if they are likely to pass or should keep studying before taking the GED assessment.

likelihood the student passes the GED subject test. Per GED Testing Service, 90% of the students who earn the average number of points within the "Likely to Pass" score range on the GED Ready subject test pass the corresponding GED test⁵. Given the high correlation between earning a "likely to pass" and actually passing the corresponding GED subject test, a floor of 0 for this measure is far too low.

(b) <u>Setting a Floor</u>

The Task Force had addressed this same issue in a similar measure within the Achievement category when it set the floors for earning points for passing a single GED subject test for the 2016-17 PMF. DC PCSB staff recommends using the exact same methodology set by the Task Force in creating the floor-setting business rules for the GED Subject Test measure. Using this methodology, approved by both the Task Force and the Board, the floor for every GED-related measure would be set at 40.0%, still far below the 70% predicted passing rate. In fact, currently no DC adult education charter school has a GED passage rate below 70%. As shown in Appendix C, DC Charter GED Secondary Credential attainment rates for each school are significantly higher than the proposed floor.

<u>AE Vote:</u> Despite a robust discussion and a manifestly low floor, the task force voted against this proposal with just 29% voting in favor. Four schools (half of the AE Task Force) recommended leaving the Earned Secondary Credential measure's floor at 0%. One school proposed increasing the floor to 28%, a figure derived from DC charter GED attainment data from school years 2014-15 and 2015-16. A detailed description of this proposal can be found here. DC PCSB staff requests that its Board set the floor at 40%, well below the 70% expectation set by the testing company.

<u>Impact: Appendix C</u> shows the impact on adult education schools of this change. Generally, two schools would change Tier on the Achievement category but no school changes Tier overall, due to their performance on the remaining categories.

B. Minor Impact Changes (Average Change of less than -1.0)

Most task force members voted in favor of the following 12 changes. The minor impact change analysis is shown in Appendix B for both the PK-8 and HS frameworks. The maximum cumulative impact of all changes taken together is an average **gain of 0.2 points** on the PK-8 framework. No schools would have changed Tiers as a result of these changes. For changes proposed in this section on the HS PMF, the average school would have a **gain of 0.7 points** and no school would experience a change in PMF Tier.

10

⁵ See GED Testing Services' <u>2014 GED Technical Manual</u>, page 121, based on the GED Testing Services Pilot data.

B1. Achievement: Remove outliers prior to calculating the floor and/or target for achievement measures.

<u>Rationale:</u> Mitigate any potential bias in creating floors and targets that could result from schools with significantly different populations.

Business Rule: DC PCSB would remove any rate that is outside 1.5 times the interquartile range (the 75th percentile – the 25th percentile).

<u>PK-8 Vote:</u> The task force voted in favor (100%) of adding the business rule of removing outliers when calculating the floors and targets on PARCC measures (Achievement and Gateway categories).

<u>HS Vote:</u> The task force voted in favor (100%) of adding the business rule of removing outliers prior to calculating the floors and targets on student test measures (PARCC, College Ready SAT/ACT, and AP/IB/DE).

<u>Impact</u>: Compared to 2015-16 scores, the average change for PK-8 schools is +0.3 points and the average change for the high schools is +0.7. Overall, zero schools change Tier because of this one change. This change does not cause a negative impact on any school. While many schools remain a 0.0 change, 56 PK-8 and 15 high schools will have a slight gain in score. The following analysis shows how this change will impact each campus, controlling for all other variables. Link: <u>Impact Analysis Tabs 1 and 2</u>

PK-8 PMF

B2. Achievement: Weigh "Approaching College and Career Ready or Higher" (levels 3, 4, and 5) and "College and Career Ready" (levels 4 and 5) PARCC levels equally.

Rationale: Task Force members requested to increase the weight of level 4+ PARCC performance. (PARCC level 3+ and level 4+ are currently weighted at a 3:2 ratio.) In 2015, the task force discussed a five-year plan to switch the weights for PARCC levels 3+ and levels 4+ from the original PMF where level 3+ weighed four times level 4+. DC PCSB staff recommend maintaining the proposed equal weights for three years and will re-assess at that time.

<u>PK-8 Vote:</u> The task force voted in favor (66.6%) to weigh levels 3+ and 4+ equally for the Student Achievement category.

<u>Impact:</u> Compared to 2015-16 scores, the average change is -0.3 points. The impact was done without removing the outliers, only changing the weights for PARCC achievement measures. Overall, zero schools change Tier because of this one change. Zero schools would

experience a significant change in score if they scored the same in 2017-18 as they did in 2015-16 (over 1 percentage points) and eight will have a slight gain in score. The following analysis shows how this change will impact each campus, controlling for all other variables. Link: Impact Analysis

B3. Growth: When a PARCC Median Growth Percentile (MGP) rate is not available due to small n-size for an early childhood growing school (e.g., a school serving Grade 4 for the first time), include NWEA MAP K-3 growth on the PMF.

<u>Rationale:</u> To ensure the PMF is as robust and comprehensive as possible, DC PCSB recommends including a growth measure every year for every school. Currently, schools ending in grade four with small fourth grades do not have a growth measure.

<u>PK-8 Vote:</u> The task force voted in favor (66.6%) of allowing schools with a small, first-time Grade 4 class to use NWEA MAP K-3.

<u>Impact</u>: This change cannot be measured. Since the implementation of the PK-8 framework, 2017-18 will be the first time growing schools will have a small grade four and use NWEA MAP.

B4. Growth: Move to spring-to-spring NWEA MAP growth for grades K-3. Only kindergartners and new students to the school would be tested fall-to-spring.

<u>Rationale:</u> Spring-to-spring growth measures annual growth and is aligned to PARCC Medium Growth Percentile (MGP) formula. Reliability is improved when all schools are measured on the same testing cycle and held accountable to year-over-year improvements. Currently some schools test fall-to-spring and others spring-to-spring. It also reduces the testing burden on students.

<u>PK-8 Vote:</u> The task force voted in favor (68.8%) of only using spring-to-spring growth for NWEA MAP with fall-to-spring for new students and kindergarteners.

Impact: No measurable impact on scores.

B5. Growth: Schools receiving PARCC Median Growth Percentile (MGP) for the first time should only receive half the points in Student Progress.

<u>Rationale</u>: Reducing Student Progress weight for one-year MGP lessens the impact of variability within the single year. This proposal came from a school as a <u>form of appeal</u> of the 2015-16 PMF score. While the school's appeal was not approved, the Board requested that the motion be considered via task force.

<u>PK-8 Vote:</u> The task force voted in favor (71.4%) of reducing the Student Progress points by half when a school receives its first year of MGP. The school's scorecard will therefore be calculated out of fewer points.

<u>Impact:</u> The seven schools with <u>one year of MGP in 2015-16</u>, saw an average gain in score of 1.7 points. One school increased from a Tier 2 to a Tier 1, not additional Tier changes occur. Of the seven schools, one school changes Tier because of this one change. Zero schools would experience a large decrease in score if they scored the same in 2017-18 as they did in 2015-16 (over 1 percentage points) and six will have a slight gain in score.

High School PMF

B6. Gateway: Transition to the redesigned SAT and PSAT by establishing new student-level benchmarks.

Students are currently counted as meeting the SAT benchmark if they score a combined 800 above on the verbal and mathematics portions (80 on the PSAT). However, in March 2016, the College Board redesigned the SAT and PSAT and created a new scale. This proposal would align the benchmark to the redesigned test and College Board's recommendations, but will keep the benchmark at the community college entry level. Students would need to earn a combined score of 890 for the SAT and 850 for the PSAT on the redesigned tests using College Board's Concordance tables. At this time, staff is not recommending changes for the ACT benchmark.

<u>Rationale:</u> Align with the College Board's updated assessments. The benchmark proposed aligns with the benchmark used by <u>community colleges</u> in the DC area to enter first semester courses without additional test taking.

HS Vote: The task force voted 100% in favor of the proposed change.

<u>Impact</u>: There is no impact on the HS PMF. The College Board created concordance tables to align the scores of the previous version of the tests to the redesigned version. For the 2015-16 and 2016-17 HS PMF, schools are using the concordance tables to map back to the "old" scores. These concordance tables were released in fall 2016 and were not available at the time the 2016-17 PMF Policy and Technical Guide were approved.

B7. Gateway: (a) Include <u>two new</u> College and Career Ready Benchmarks for the verbal and math sections on the SAT and ACT and (b) set the floors and targets at the 10th and 90th percentiles.

Rationale for New Measures: Align with the SAT and ACT publishers' recommendation on the benchmarks that equate to a student earning a C in a first semester college class. The publishers have set a "College-Ready"

benchmark for both Evidence Based Reading and Writing (ERW) and Math separately. DC PCSB is proposing to display each measure separately. Students are college and career ready when they score a 480 on the SAT ERW or 530 on the SAT Math. On the ACT, students need to score an average of a 20 on the English and Reading sections or a 22 on the Math section. Anchoring performance on "C" grade average gives schools the freedom to choose the best test (ACT or SAT) for their students.

Rationale for Floor and Target: DC PCSB staff is proposing to use the 10th and 90th percentiles to set the floor and targets for these measures, which is the standard business rule for the framework. Of the two new measures, the charter sector and the city perform, on average, better on the SAT ERW section than SAT Math section at the College-Ready benchmarks. Setting the same floor and target for both measures would not be practical. Anchoring performance on "C" grade average gives schools the freedom to choose the best test (ACT or SAT) for their students.

- (a) HS Vote: The task force voted in favor (87.5%) to include these two new measures: College and Career Ready ERW and Math.
- (b) HS Vote: The task force voted in favor (62.5%) to use the current 10th and 90th percentile business rules for the floor and target for this measure.

Impact: Compared to 2015-16 scores, the average change is +0.7 points. Staff calculated the analysis using the weights proposed in this section for the HS PMF. Since this is a new measure, the weights needed to be adjusted to calculate the impact. Overall, zero schools change Tier because of this one change. Two schools would experience a significant change in score if they scored the same in 2017-18 as they did in 2015-16 (just over 2 percentage points) and 10 will have a slight gain in score. The following analysis shows how this change will impact each campus, controlling for all other variables. Link: Impact Analysis

B8. Gateway: Students on an Individualized Education Plan (IEP) certificate track should be removed from the College Ready measures (PSAT/SAT/ACT/IP/DE/CTE/College Acceptance).

<u>Rationale:</u> A IEP certificate of completion excludes the requirements of a HS diploma (Carnegie units), which limits the opportunities for students to be accepted into college. This proposal came from a school.

HS Vote: The task force voted 100% in favor.

<u>Impact:</u> At this time, the impact cannot be measured. Each year, a few schools report having one to two students on an IEP certificate track. DC PCSB has not previously collected this data.

B9. Gateway: (a) College and Career Ready Measure: Remove Career and Technical Education (CTE) Completer as an optional measure from the framework and (b) include CTE Certification with AP/IB/DE measure.

<u>Rationale:</u> The benefits from a CTE come from earning the certification after completing a Program of Study. The CTE certification is earned in an Office of the State Superintendent of Education (OSSE)-approved program of Study within a high wage and high demand field.

- (a) HS Vote: The task force voted in favor (85.7%) to remove CTE Completer as an optional measure on the framework.
- (b) HS Vote: The task force voted in favor (62.5%) of including CTE certification with the AP/IB/DE measure.

The task force did not reach the 66.6% on the second vote to directly move this to the Board, but the proposed change would greatly benefit schools offering CTE programs and would make the HS PMF more balanced to reward schools that offer robust Career Pathways as well as College Pathways. The schools that offer CTE programs voted in favor of including CTE certification to this measure. Staff believes that this will encourage schools to choose to have career pathways in addition to college pathway for students. A few schools wanted to have separate measures for CTE and AP/IB/Dual Enrollment, but fewer than ten students at any given school are currently on track to earn a CTE each year, making it important to blend the outcome with other college-career outcomes.

<u>Impact:</u> To date, no high school has included CTE certification as those with programs are just beginning their build out. The impact of this change cannot be measured.

B10. Adjust the weights of the HS PMF. The HS PMF would place additional weight on preparedness for college and career readiness after high school. Some of the weight is shifted away from the PARCC and PSAT assessments in 10^{th} and 11^{th} grades towards the culminating assessments in 12^{th} grade.

<u>Rationale:</u> The purpose of high schools is to fully prepare students for life after high school in both college and career. While the framework has information on every grade's performance, the framework now focuses on 12th grade outcomes.

<u>HS Vote</u>: The task force voted in favor (75%) of the following weight structure.

Impact: Compared to 2015-16 scores, the average change is +0.7 points. The analysis was conducted using the data from the 2015-16 scorecards which did not include growth. Growth will be available in 2017-18 for ELA II and 10th grade Geometry. This impact includes the proposed floors and targets for the HS PMF in this section. Overall, zero schools change Tier because of this one change. Two schools would experience a significant change in score if they scored the same in 2017-18 as they did in 2015-16 (just over 2 percentage points) and 10 will have a slight gain in score. The following analysis shows how this change will impact each campus, controlling for all other variables. Link: Impact Analysis

Proposed 2017-18 Measures	Current 2016-17 Weights	Proposed 2017-18 Weights
Student Progress	15	15
ELA MGP Growth (PARCC test from 8 th to 10 th)	7.5	7.5
Math MGP Growth (PARCC test from 8 th to 10 th grade Geometry)	7.5	7.5
Display: PSAT to 11 th or 12 th grade SAT Growth ⁶	NA	NA – new for 2017-18
Student Achievement	25	20
PARCC ELA II 3+	7.5	5
PARCC ELA II 4+	5	5
PARCC Geometry/Integrated Math II 3+	7.5	5
PARCC Geometry/Integrated Math II 4+	5	5
Gateway	35	42.5
PSAT	7.5	5
SAT/ACT (890/16)	7.5	5
SAT/ACT College Ready ERW (new measure)	NA	2.5
SAT/ACT College Ready Math (new measure)	NA	2.5
9 th Grade on Track	5 (Was part of School Environment)	5
4-year ACGR	3	4
5-year ACGR	4.5	6
College Acceptance	7.5	5

⁶ Pending College Board release of Growth Measure.

Proposed 2017-18 Measures	Current 2016-17 Weights	Proposed 2017-18 Weights
AP/IB/Dual Enrollment/[CTE]	5 (opt in for CTE)	7.5
School Environment	25	22.5
Attendance	10	12.5
Re-enrollment	10	10

Adult Education (AE) PMF

- B11. Progress: Positively count pre-tested Adult Basic Education (ABE) level 5 or lower students in Student Progress who
 - 1.) Pass the General Education Development (GED) subject test that corresponds to their lowest performing ABE subject during the program year or attain the National External Diploma Program (NEDP) credential during the program year, and
 - 2.) Do not post-test after passing the corresponding GED subject test.

Rationale: AE task force members observe that students are unwilling to post-test on their NRS-approved assessment once they pass the GED. The Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA), the federal law that dictates much of the scope of work in the adult education field, counts GED attainment as proof of educational growth. As such, DC PCSB staff recommend accepting passing the GED Mathematics or Language Arts/Reading tests as an alternative means to demonstrate progress for the corresponding subject. As proposed, this alternative may only be applied during the program year in which the student passes the appropriate GED subject test. If the student is enrolled the subsequent program year and s/he does not pre-test at ABE level 6, the student will have to demonstrate growth using post-test National Reporting System-approved assessment data.

AE Vote: The task force vote 87.5% in favor.

B12. Achievement: Make the Earned High-Level Certification measure a display-only item for one additional year.

Rationale: The Earned High-Level Certification measure is a new measure of the AE PMF, beginning with the 2016-17 PMF Guide. It shows the number of students who earned select career and technical education certifications. DC PCSB does not have data to determine the floor or target for this measure and requests that it be display only for one year. DC PCSB staff recommend setting a floor and target for this measure for the 2018-19 PMF, after we have two years' worth of data.

<u>AE Vote</u>: The task force voted in favor (100%) of making this a display-only measure on the 2017-18 PMF.

<u>Impact:</u> No impact on Tiers.

Section C: Clarifications made to the PMF Frameworks

All Frameworks

C1. Wording Change: DC PCSB staff changed the word "Indicator" to "Category"

In trying to communicate the four main elements of the PMF (indicators, measures, metrics and business rules), it is more straight forward to use the term category.

HS PMF

C2. Move the 9th Grade on Track measure to the Gateway category from the School Environment category.

Rationale: Accumulating enough credits during 9th grade is an early indicator of if students will successfully complete high school within four years. The measure more accurately belongs in the Gateway category which captures critical achievement and preparation for college and career readiness. Despite having a simple majority and not a two-thirds majority, staff would like to have the Board consider this change. It adds clarity to families and schools and aligns with the Raise DC awareness of the importance of students being "on track" in ninth grade. As there is no impact on score or Tier, staff is not requesting a change in weight, this proposed changed will not adversely impact any schools. DC PCSB staff proposed this change with moving the SAT/ACT measures to Student Achievement. Schools that did not vote in favor of this change argued that SAT/ACT did not belong in student achievement without the additional student assessment measures (AP/IB) also moving. DC PCSB staff agreed to only move 9th Grade on Track.

<u>HS Vote:</u> 62.5% of task force members voted in favor of the proposed structural changes.

<u>Impact:</u> There is no impact on of this change on the overall score as it does not change floors, targets or weights.

Alternative Accountability Framework

C3. Clarification about acceptable documentation for verification of risk factors:

 DC PCSB staff has included language to specify that risk factors for current students are only for students enrolled since the beginning of the school year for which the school seeks AAF status. Parent incarceration: Specifies that forms submitted must be within the two years before the start of the current school year and must include pertinent information that the documentation should contain such as the parent name and court case number.

AE PMF

C4. AE PMF Guide Clarifications

DC PCSB staff discussed the five clarifications reported below with the AE task force. DC PCSB staff did not ask the task force to vote on these changes, but staff did solicit task force's feedback. Please see this link for the AE task force summary of feedback. These clarifications do not have a direct impact on schools' performance on the framework; however, some of the revisions will spur changes in schools' practices.

- 1. Per DC's WIOA Unified 2016-2020 State Plan Define, DC PCSB defines Adult Basic Education (ABE) as services "designed for adults who need to improve their reading, writing and basic math skills in order to obtain a high school diploma or GED and/or transition to postsecondary education and/or the workforce."⁷
- 2. Per WIOA, DC PCSB will begin using the phrase "English Language Acquisition" when referring to English as a Second Language (ESL) programming. English Language Acquisition instruction is "a program...(a) designed to help eligible individuals who are English Language Learners achieve competence in reading, writing, speaking, and comprehension of the English language; and (b) that leads to (i) attainment of a secondary school diploma or its recognized equivalent; and (ii) transition to postsecondary education and training; or (iii) employment." The ESL acronym will continue to appear in the AE PMF Guide.
- DC PCSB staff require schools to administer, at a minimum, literacy and numeracy subtests from NRS-approved assessments to Adult Basic Education (ABE) students. Student Progress will continue to be measured based on the student's lowest performing subject (page 98).
- 4. DC PCSB staff will follow publishers' guidance if updates for scoring assessments/performance are made after the PMF Policy & Technical Guide is finalized (page 124). An appendix outlining test administration guidance for NRS-approved assessments in use at DC AE public charter schools is include on page 126.
- 5. The formula for the GED Subject Test Achievement measure on page 105 was adjusted to match the language in the "Components, Methods, and Additional Notes" section describing the metric. In the original formula, a portion of the denominator stated that the number of students with a recommendation to take the test would be included. This has been corrected to state the number of recommendations a school provides for students will be included for

8 Ibid, 178.

-

⁷ See "District of Columbia Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) 2016-2020 Unified State Plan," page 177, Government of the District of Columbia, February 2016, available at http://bit.ly/2oSeZg6.

students who take one or more GED subject tests. DC PCSB staff also eliminated the denominator's redundant reference to ABE 6 GED testers (the original metric erroneously counts ABE 6 GED testers twice instead of once as intended).

- 6. Two clarifications were made in the Retention measure.
 - For students who are showing retention through a dual enrollment course, the student must either pass the course or the school must show documentation from the college/university that the student attended the full duration of the course (page 116).
 - Additionally, for students enrolled in a certification program that does not have a pre- and post-test, the student must either have attended at least 120 hours or attempted the program's certification exam (page 116). The addition is in bold.

Background

DC PCSB staff met with the PK-8, HS, and AE PMF task force groups during the winter and spring of 2017 to discuss changes to each framework for 2017-18.

PK-8 Task Force

DC PCSB staff sent out an <u>overview</u> of the proposed changes prior to meeting with the PK-8 task force on February 21, 2017.

February

- <u>Presentation</u>
- LEA feedback

HS Task Force

DC PCSB staff sent out an <u>overview</u> of the changes to be discussed with the high school task force on February 10, 2017 in advance of both meetings. Staff met with the HS task force on February 21, 2017 and March 21, 2017. Staff additionally followed up with task force members in early April to receive their feedback on the overall weights of the framework. Task force members expressed difficulty in giving feedback on the weights after the March meeting without knowing the results of the meeting's votes.

February

- Presentation
- LEA Feedback

March

- Presentation
- LEA Feedback

Follow-up

• LEA Feedback

AE Task Force

DC PCSB staff met with the AE task force on February 23, 2017 and May 25, 2017. February

Presentation

March Addendum

- Presentation Addendum LEA Feedback

May

- Presentation LEA Feedback

Date:ApprovedApproved with ChangesRejected	l
Changes to the Original Proposal:	
Signature:	

<u>Appendix A - Comprehensive Impact Analysis of all the Proposed Changes</u>

PK-8 PMF Comprehensive Impact Analysis

Based on <u>all</u> the proposed changes, from both sections A and B above, schools lost an average 0.7% on their overall PMF scores; the maximum change to the overall PMF scores was -6.6% to +2.6%. Three schools would see their Tier drop. The major impact is increasing the floors from zero to the 3.3^{rd} percentile as proposed for 2017-18. This change is discussed in section A1, was approved by our schools, and was discussed by our Board when the PARCC measures were introduced three years ago.

Table by School Name

Table by School Name						
Campus	2015-16 Original PMF Score	2015-16 Original PMF Tier	Proposed PMF Score	Proposed PMF Tier	Change in Score	
Achievement Preparatory Academy PCS - Wahler Place Elementary School	26.3	3	27.2	3	0.9	
Achievement Preparatory Academy PCS - Wahler Place Middle School	38.3	2	35.9	2	-2.4	
BASIS DC PCS	74.3	1	74.3	1	0.0	
Bridges PCS	64.0	N/A	64.0	N/A	0.0	
Capital City PCS - Lower School	60.8	2	60.0	2	-0.8	
Capital City PCS - Middle School	57.4	2	56.7	2	-0.7	
Cedar Tree Academy PCS	86.0	1	86.0	1	0.0	
Center City PCS - Brightwood	66.6	1	66.1	1	-0.5	
Center City PCS - Capitol Hill	39.4	2	35.5	2	-3.9	
Center City PCS - Congress Heights	52.6	2	51.1	2	-1.5	
Center City PCS - Petworth	69.3	1	69.3	1	0.0	
Center City PCS - Shaw	69.0	1	68.7	1	-0.3	
Center City PCS - Trinidad	32.7	3	29.2	3	-3.5	
Cesar Chavez PCS for Public Policy - Chavez Prep	37.9	2	33.9	3	-4.0	
Cesar Chavez PCS for Public Policy - Parkside Middle School	39.3	2	34.9	3	-4.4	
City Arts & Prep PCS	49.4	2	47.8	2	-1.6	
Creative Minds International PCS	52.6	2	53.4	2	0.8	
DC Bilingual PCS	75.3	1	77.3	1	2.0	
DC Prep PCS - Anacostia Elementary School	79.0	N/A	79.0	N/A	0.0	
DC Prep PCS - Benning Elementary School	67.4	1	70.0	1	2.6	
DC Prep PCS - Benning Middle School	65.3	1	67.1	1	1.8	

Campus	2015-16 Original PMF Score	2015-16 Original PMF Tier	Proposed PMF Score	Proposed PMF Tier	Change in Score
DC Prep PCS - Edgewood Elementary School	87.1	1	88.2	1	1.1
DC Prep PCS - Edgewood Middle School	80.3	1	81.3	1	1.0
DC Scholars PCS	65.1	1	65.3	1	0.2
Democracy Prep Congress Heights PCS	40.8	2	38.4	2	-2.4
District of Columbia International School	76.1	1	76.2	1	0.1
E.L. Haynes PCS - Elementary School	73.2	1	74.3	1	1.1
E.L. Haynes PCS - Middle School	64.6	2	63.6	2	-1.0
Eagle Academy PCS - Capitol Riverfront	76.4	1	77.4	1	1.0
Eagle Academy PCS - Congress Heights	60.0	2	60.2	2	0.2
Early Childhood Academy PCS	79.3	1	80.5	1	1.2
Elsie Whitlow Stokes Community Freedom PCS	66.1	1	67.0	1	0.9
Excel Academy PCS	44.8	2	43.9	2	-0.9
Friendship PCS - Armstrong	52.4	N/A	50.2	N/A	-2.2
Friendship PCS - Blow Pierce	J2.1.	14,71	30.2	, , .	-1-
Elementary School	75.8	1	75.7	1	-0.1
Friendship PCS - Blow Pierce Middle School	66.0	1	65.3	1	-0.7
Friendship PCS - Chamberlain					
Elementary School	77.2	1	77.7	1	0.5
Friendship PCS - Chamberlain Middle		_		_	
School	62.1	2	61.1	2	-1.0
Friendship PCS - Online	62.8	N/A	63.5	N/A	0.7
Friendship PCS - Southeast Academy	44.0	2	40.0	2	-4.0
Friendship PCS - Technology Preparatory Middle School	40.9	2	36.7	2	-4.2
Friendship PCS - Woodridge Elementary School	63.6	2	62.0	2	-1.6
Friendship PCS - Woodridge Middle School	60.2	2	59.7	2	-0.5
Harmony DC PCS - School of					
Excellence	38.5	2	37.9	2	-0.6
Hope Community PCS - Lamond	47.2	2	46.9	2	-0.3
Hope Community PCS - Tolson	54.6	2	54.3	2	-0.3
Howard University Middle School of Mathematics and Science PCS	39.1	2	37.5	2	-1.6

Campus	2015-16 Original PMF Score	2015-16 Original PMF Tier	Proposed PMF Score	Proposed PMF Tier	Change in Score
Ideal Academy PCS	38.8	2	35.1	2	-3.7
Ingenuity Prep PCS	63.7	2	63.7	2	0.0
Inspired Teaching Demonstration					
PCS	58.6	2	60.2	2	1.6
KIPP DC - AIM Academy PCS	51.6	2	49.6	2	-2.0
KIPP DC - Arts and Technology		_		_	
Academy PCS	85.4	1	85.4	1	0.0
KIPP DC - Connect Academy PCS	91.9	1	91.9	1	0.0
KIPP DC - Discover Academy PCS	89.2	1	89.2	1	0.0
KIPP DC - Grow Academy PCS	75.8	1	75.8	1	0.0
KIPP DC - Heights Academy PCS	71.4	1	72.8	1	1.4
KIPP DC - KEY Academy PCS	65.5	1	67.3	1	1.8
KIPP DC - Lead Academy PCS	60.9	2	63.4	2	2.5
KIPP DC - Northeast Academy PCS	74.7	1	73.8	1	-0.9
KIPP DC - Promise Academy PCS	90.0	1	91.9	1	1.9
KIPP DC - Quest Academy PCS	71.2	1	73.3	1	2.1
KIPP DC - Spring Academy PCS	55.8	2	55.8	2	0.0
KIPP DC - Valor Academy PCS	46.3	N/A	40.5	N/A	-5.8
KIPP DC - WILL Academy PCS	55.3	2	54.7	2	-0.6
Latin American Montessori Bilingual PCS	83.3	1	85.1	1	1.8
Lee Montessori PCS	67.1	N/A	67.1	N/A	0.0
Mary McLeod Bethune Day					
Academy PCS Meridian PCS	51.9	2	49.3	2	-2.6
	41.8	2	38.6	2	-3.2
Mundo Verde Bilingual PCS	72.5	1	75.0	1	2.5
Paul PCS - Middle School	44.9	2	42.3	2	-2.6
Perry Street Preparatory PCS	39.4	2	37.7	2	-1.7
Roots PCS	46.2	2	46.6	2	0.3
SEED PCS of Washington DC	38.8	2	33.2	3	-5.6
Sela PCS	75.6	1	75.6	1	0.0
Shining Stars Montessori Academy PCS	60.4	2	55.5	2	-4.9
Somerset Preparatory Academy PCS	34.7	3	29.5	3	-5.2
Two Rivers PCS - 4th Street	73.7	1	75.3	1	1.6
Two Rivers PCS - Young	47.2	N/A	47.2	N/A	0.0
Washington Global PCS	35.0	N/A	28.4	N/A	-6.6
Washington Latin PCS - Middle School	89.4	1	90.7	1	1.3
Washington Yu Ying PCS	77.6	1	79.1	1	1.5

Table by Change in Score

Table by Change in Score			_	_	
School	2015-16	2015-	Proposed	Proposed	Change
202 203 2	Score	16 Tier	Score	Tier	
DC Prep PCS - Benning Elementary School	67.4	1	70.0	1	2.6
Mundo Verde Bilingual PCS	72.5	1	75.0	1	2.5
KIPP DC - Lead Academy PCS	60.9	2	63.4	2	2.5
KIPP DC - Quest Academy PCS	71.2	1	73.3	1	2.1
DC Bilingual PCS	75.3	1	77.3	1	2.0
KIPP DC - Promise Academy PCS	90.0	1	91.9	1	1.9
Latin American Montessori Bilingual PCS	83.3	1	85.1	1	1.8
DC Prep PCS - Benning Middle School	65.3	1	67.1	1	1.8
KIPP DC - KEY Academy PCS	65.5	1	67.3	1	1.8
Two Rivers PCS - 4th Street	73.7	1	75.3	1	1.6
Inspired Teaching Demonstration PCS	58.6	2	60.2	2	1.6
Washington Yu Ying PCS	77.6	1	79.1	1	1.5
KIPP DC - Heights Academy PCS	71.4	1	72.8	1	1.4
Washington Latin PCS - Middle School	89.4	1	90.7	1	1.3
Early Childhood Academy PCS	79.3	1	80.5	1	1.2
E.L. Haynes PCS - Elementary School	73.2	1	74.3	1	1.1
DC Prep PCS - Edgewood Elementary School	87.1	1	88.2	1	1.1
Eagle Academy PCS - Capitol Riverfront	76.4	1	77.4	1	1.0
DC Prep PCS - Edgewood Middle School	80.3	1	81.3	1	1.0
Elsie Whitlow Stokes Community Freedom PCS	66.1	1	67.0	1	0.9
Achievement Preparatory Academy PCS - Wahler Place Elementary School	26.3	3	27.2	3	0.9
Creative Minds International PCS	52.6	2	53.4	2	0.8
Friendship PCS - Online	62.8	N/A	63.5	N/A	0.7
Friendship PCS - Chamberlain Elementary School	77.2	1	77.7	1	0.5
Roots PCS	46.2	2	46.6	2	0.3
DC Scholars PCS	65.1	1	65.3	1	0.2
Eagle Academy PCS - Congress Heights	60.0	2	60.2	2	0.2
District of Columbia International School	76.1	1	76.2	1	0.1
Center City PCS - Petworth	69.3	1	69.3	1	0.0
BASIS DC PCS	74.3	1	74.3	1	0.0

School	2015-16 Score	2015- 16 Tier	Proposed Score	Proposed Tier	Change
Bridges PCS	64.0	N/A	64.0	N/A	0.0
Cedar Tree Academy PCS	86.0	1	86.0	1	0.0
DC Prep PCS - Anacostia Elementary School	79.0	N/A	79.0	N/A	0.0
Ingenuity Prep PCS	63.7	2	63.7	2	0.0
KIPP DC - Arts and Technology Academy PCS	85.4	1	85.4	1	0.0
KIPP DC - Connect Academy PCS	91.9	1	91.9	1	0.0
KIPP DC - Discover Academy PCS	89.2	1	89.2	1	0.0
KIPP DC - Grow Academy PCS	75.8	1	75.8	1	0.0
KIPP DC - Spring Academy PCS	55.8	2	55.8	2	0.0
Lee Montessori PCS	67.1	N/A	67.1	N/A	0.0
Sela PCS	75.6	1	75.6	1	0.0
Two Rivers PCS - Young	47.2	N/A	47.2	N/A	0.0
Friendship PCS - Blow Pierce Elementary School	75.8	1	75.7	1	-0.1
Center City PCS - Shaw	69.0	1	68.7	1	-0.3
Hope Community PCS - Lamond	47.2	2	46.9	2	-0.3
Hope Community PCS - Tolson	54.6	2	54.3	2	-0.3
Center City PCS - Brightwood	66.6	1	66.1	1	-0.5
Friendship PCS - Woodridge Middle School	60.2	2	59.7	2	-0.5
KIPP DC - WILL Academy PCS	55.3	2	54.7	2	-0.6
Harmony DC PCS - School of Excellence	38.5	2	37.9	2	-0.6
Capital City PCS - Middle School	57.4	2	56.7	2	-0.7
Friendship PCS - Blow Pierce Middle School	66.0	1	65.3	1	-0.7
Capital City PCS - Lower School	60.8	2	60.0	2	-0.8
KIPP DC - Northeast Academy PCS	74.7	1	73.8	1	-0.9
Excel Academy PCS	44.8	2	43.9	2	-0.9
E.L. Haynes PCS - Middle School	64.6	2	63.6	2	-1.0
Friendship PCS - Chamberlain Middle School	62.1	2	61.1	2	-1.0
Center City PCS - Congress Heights	52.6	2	51.1	2	-1.5
Howard University Middle School of Mathematics and Science PCS	39.1	2	37.5	2	-1.6
City Arts & Prep PCS	49.4	2	47.8	2	-1.6
Friendship PCS - Woodridge Elementary School	63.6	2	62.0	2	-1.6
Perry Street Preparatory PCS	39.4	2	37.7	2	-1.7
KIPP DC - AIM Academy PCS	51.6	2	49.6	2	-2.0
Friendship PCS - Armstrong	52.4	N/A	50.2	N/A	-2.2

School	2015-16 Score	2015- 16 Tier	Proposed Score	Proposed Tier	Change
Democracy Prep Congress Heights PCS	40.8	2	38.4	2	-2.4
Achievement Preparatory Academy PCS - Wahler Place Middle School	38.3	2	35.9	2	-2.4
Paul PCS - Middle School	44.9	2	42.3	2	-2.6
Mary McLeod Bethune Day Academy PCS	51.9	2	49.3	2	-2.6
Meridian PCS	41.8	2	38.6	2	-3.2
Center City PCS - Trinidad	32.7	3	29.2	3	-3.5
Ideal Academy PCS	38.8	2	35.1	2	-3.7
Center City PCS - Capitol Hill	39.4	2	35.5	2	-3.9
Cesar Chavez PCS for Public Policy - Chavez Prep	37.9	2	33.9	3	-4.0
Friendship PCS - Southeast Academy	44.0	2	40.0	2	-4.0
Friendship PCS - Technology Preparatory Middle School	40.9	2	36.7	2	-4.2
Cesar Chavez PCS for Public Policy - Parkside Middle School	39.3	2	34.9	3	-4.4
Shining Stars Montessori Academy PCS	60.4	2	55.5	2	-4.9
Somerset Preparatory Academy PCS	34.7	3	29.5	3	-5.2
SEED PCS of Washington DC	38.8	2	33.2	3	-5.6
KIPP DC - Valor Academy PCS	46.3	N/A	40.5	N/A	-5.8
Washington Global PCS	35.0	N/A	28.4	N/A	-6.6

HS PMF Comprehensive Impact Analysis

Based on all the proposed measures, weights, floors and targets, no high schools would change Tiers. On average, high school campuses gained 1.2% on their overall PMF scores; the maximum change to the overall PMF scores was -4.5 to +6.9%. This impact analysis contains all the changes proposed to the HS PMF.

Note: The HS PMF did not contain growth in 2015-16. While growth is expected to be applicable for ELA II and 10^{th} grade geometry, growth was not included in the simulator to compare to 2015-16 scores.

Table by School Name

School Name	2015- 16 PMF Score	2015 -16 PMF Tier	Proposed PMF Score	Proposed PMF Tier	Change in Score
BASIS DC PCS	94.8	1	94.9	1	0.1
Capital City PCS - High School	65.3	1	68.2	1	2.9
Cesar Chavez PCS for Public Policy - Capitol Hill	44.4	2	40.0	2	-4.4
Cesar Chavez PCS for Public Policy - Parkside High School	54.5	2	53.9	2	-0.6

School Name	2015- 16 PMF Score	2015 -16 PMF Tier	Proposed PMF Score	Proposed PMF Tier	Change in Score
E.L. Haynes PCS - High School	48.9	2	51.5	2	2.6
Friendship PCS - Collegiate Academy	51.8	2	55.9	2	4.1
Friendship PCS - Technology Preparatory High School	50.1	2	51.8	2	1.7
IDEA PCS	42.2	2	41.6	2	-0.6
KIPP DC - College Preparatory Academy PCS	74.1	1	78.6	1	4.5
National Collegiate Preparatory PCHS	32.9	3	28.4	3	-4.5
Paul PCS - International High School	47.7	2	49.4	2	1.7
Richard Wright PCS for Journalism and Media Arts	39.2	2	38.2	2	-1.0
SEED PCS of Washington DC	54.5	2	54.2	2	-0.3
Somerset Preparatory Academy PCS	41.0	2	42.3	2	1.3
Thurgood Marshall Academy PCS	70.6	1	71.8	1	1.2
Washington Latin PCS - Upper School	80.5	1	87.4	1	6.9
Washington Mathematics Science Technology PCHS	43.8	2	47.9	2	4.1

Table by Change in Score

School Name	2015- 16 PMF Score	2015 -16 PMF Tier	Proposed PMF Score	Proposed PMF Tier	Change in Score
Washington Latin PCS - Upper School	80.5	1	87.4	1	6.9
KIPP DC - College Preparatory Academy PCS	74.1	1	78.6	1	4.5
Washington Mathematics Science Technology PCHS	43.8	2	47.9	2	4.1
Friendship PCS - Collegiate Academy	51.8	2	55.9	2	4.1
Capital City PCS - High School	65.3	1	68.2	1	2.9
E.L. Haynes PCS - High School	48.9	2	51.5	2	2.6
Paul PCS - International High School	47.7	2	49.4	2	1.7
Friendship PCS - Technology Preparatory High School	50.1	2	51.8	2	1.7
Somerset Preparatory Academy PCS	41.0	2	42.3	2	1.3
Thurgood Marshall Academy PCS	70.6	1	71.8	1	1.2
BASIS DC PCS	94.8	1	94.9	1	0.1
SEED PCS of Washington DC	54.5	2	54.2	2	-0.3
Cesar Chavez PCS for Public Policy - Parkside High School	54.5	2	53.9	2	-0.6

School Name	2015- 16 PMF Score	2015 -16 PMF Tier	Proposed PMF Score	Proposed PMF Tier	Change in Score
IDEA PCS	42.2	2	41.6	2	-0.6
Richard Wright PCS for Journalism and Media Arts	39.2	2	38.2	2	-1.0
Cesar Chavez PCS for Public Policy - Capitol Hill	44.4	2	40.0	2	-4.4
National Collegiate Preparatory PCHS	32.9	3	28.4	3	-4.5

Appendix B: Low Impact Analysis

PK-8 Low Impact Analysis

Based on the PK-8 proposed measures, weights, floors and targets in section B, the average change compared to 2015-16 is +0.2 points. No schools change Tier; the maximum change to the overall PMF scores was -0.8% and the maximum gain is +1.8%.

Table by School Name

Table by School Name					
	2015-16 Original PMF	2015-16 Original PMF	Proposed PMF Score	Proposed PMF Tier	Change in
Campus	Score	Tier			Score
Achievement Preparatory Academy PCS - Wahler Place Elementary School	26.3	3	27.3	3	1.0
Achievement Preparatory Academy PCS - Wahler Place Middle School	38.3	2	37.9	2	-0.4
BASIS DC PCS	74.3	1	74.5	1	0.2
Bridges PCS	64.0	N/A	64.0	N/A	0.0
Capital City PCS - Lower School	60.8	2	61.0	2	0.2
Capital City PCS - Middle School	57.4	2	57.5	2	0.1
Cedar Tree Academy PCS	86.0	1	86.0	1	0.0
Center City PCS - Brightwood	66.6	1	66.7	1	0.1
Center City PCS - Capitol Hill	39.4	2	38.7	2	-0.7
Center City PCS - Congress Heights	52.6	2	52.4	2	-0.2
Center City PCS - Petworth	69.3	1	69.4	1	0.1
Center City PCS - Shaw	69.0	1	69.0	1	0.0
Center City PCS - Trinidad	32.7	3	32.6	3	-0.1
Cesar Chavez PCS for Public Policy - Chavez Prep	37.9	2	37.7	2	-0.2
Cesar Chavez PCS for Public Policy - Parkside Middle School	39.3	2	39.0	2	-0.3
City Arts & Prep PCS	49.4	2	49.5	2	0.1
Creative Minds International PCS	52.6	2	53.3	2	0.7
DC Bilingual PCS	75.3	1	76.1	1	0.8
DC Prep PCS - Anacostia Elementary School	79.0	N/A	79.0	N/A	0.0
DC Prep PCS - Benning Elementary School	67.4	1	68.9	1	1.5
DC Prep PCS - Benning Middle School	65.3	1	65.4	1	0.1
DC Prep PCS - Edgewood Elementary School	87.1	1	88.7	1	1.6
DC Prep PCS - Edgewood Middle School	80.3	1	80.7	1	0.4

Campus	2015-16 Original PMF Score	2015-16 Original PMF Tier	Proposed PMF Score	Proposed PMF Tier	Change in Score
DC Scholars PCS	65.1	1	65.7	1	0.6
Democracy Prep Congress Heights PCS	40.8	2	41.0	2	0.2
District of Columbia International School	76.1	1	76.4	1	0.3
E.L. Haynes PCS - Elementary School	73.2	1	73.9	1	0.7
E.L. Haynes PCS - Middle School	64.6	2	64.3	2	-0.3
Eagle Academy PCS - Capitol Riverfront	76.4	1	77.3	1	0.9
Eagle Academy PCS - Congress Heights	60.0	2	60.5	2	0.5
Early Childhood Academy PCS	79.3	1	79.9	1	0.6
Elsie Whitlow Stokes Community Freedom PCS	66.1	1	66.8	1	0.7
Excel Academy PCS	44.8	2	45.4	2	0.6
Friendship PCS - Armstrong	52.4	N/A	52.6	N/A	0.2
Friendship PCS - Blow Pierce Elementary School	75.8	1	76.1	1	0.3
Friendship PCS - Blow Pierce Middle School	66.0	1	65.8	1	-0.2
Friendship PCS - Chamberlain Elementary School	77.2	1	77.9	1	0.7
Friendship PCS - Chamberlain Middle School	62.1	2	61.7	2	-0.4
Friendship PCS - Online	62.8	N/A	62.7	N/A	0.0
Friendship PCS - Southeast Academy	44.0	2	43.9	2	-0.1
Friendship PCS - Technology Preparatory Middle School	40.9	2	40.5	2	-0.4
Friendship PCS - Woodridge Elementary School	63.6	2	63.7	2	0.1
Friendship PCS - Woodridge Middle School	60.2	2	60.1	2	-0.1
Harmony DC PCS - School of Excellence	38.5	2	39.4	2	0.9
Hope Community PCS - Lamond	47.2	2	47.7	2	0.5
Hope Community PCS - Tolson	54.6	2	55.0	2	0.4
Howard University Middle School of Mathematics and Science PCS	39.1	2	38.9	2	-0.2
Ideal Academy PCS	38.8	2	38.1	2	-0.7
Ingenuity Prep PCS	63.7	2	63.7	2	0.0
Inspired Teaching Demonstration PCS	58.6	2	59.4	2	0.8

Campus	2015-16 Original PMF Score	2015-16 Original PMF Tier	Proposed PMF Score	Proposed PMF Tier	Change in Score
KIPP DC - AIM Academy PCS	51.6	2	51.3	2	-0.3
KIPP DC - Arts and Technology Academy PCS	85.4	1	85.4	1	0.0
KIPP DC - Connect Academy PCS	91.9	1	91.9	1	0.0
KIPP DC - Discover Academy PCS	89.2	1	89.2	1	0.0
KIPP DC - Grow Academy PCS	75.8	1	75.8	1	0.0
KIPP DC - Heights Academy PCS	71.4	1	72.6	1	1.2
KIPP DC - KEY Academy PCS	65.5	1	65.4	1	-0.1
KIPP DC - Lead Academy PCS	60.9	2	62.4	2	1.5
KIPP DC - Northeast Academy PCS	74.7	1	74.8	1	0.1
KIPP DC - Promise Academy PCS	90.0	1	91.8	1	1.8
KIPP DC - Quest Academy PCS	71.2	1	72.4	1	1.2
KIPP DC - Spring Academy PCS	55.8	2	55.8	2	0.0
KIPP DC - Valor Academy PCS	46.3	N/A	45.5	N/A	-0.8
KIPP DC - WILL Academy PCS	55.3	2	55.2	2	-0.1
Latin American Montessori Bilingual PCS	83.3	1	84.8	1	1.5
Lee Montessori PCS	67.1	N/A	67.1	N/A	0.0
Mary McLeod Bethune Day Academy PCS	51.9	2	51.7	2	-0.2
Meridian PCS	41.8	2	41.5	2	-0.3
Mundo Verde Bilingual PCS	72.5	1	73.6	1	1.1
Paul PCS - Middle School	44.9	2	44.6	2	-0.3
Perry Street Preparatory PCS	39.4	2	39.8	2	0.4
Roots PCS	46.2	2	46.1	2	-0.1
SEED PCS of Washington DC	38.8	2	38.4	2	-0.4
Sela PCS	75.6	1	75.6	1	0.0
Shining Stars Montessori Academy PCS	60.4	2	59.8	2	-0.5
Somerset Preparatory Academy PCS	34.7	3	34.3	3	-0.4
Two Rivers PCS - 4th Street	73.7	1	74.2	1	0.5
Two Rivers PCS - Young	47.2	N/A	47.2	N/A	0.0
Washington Global PCS	35.0	N/A	34.5	N/A	-0.5
Washington Latin PCS - Middle School	89.4	1	89.7	1	0.3
Washington Yu Ying PCS	77.6	1	78.9	1	1.3

Table by Change in Score

School	2015-16	2015- 16 Tier	Proposed Score	Proposed Tier	Change
KIPP DC - Promise Academy PCS	90.0	1	91.8	1	1.8
DC Prep PCS - Edgewood Elementary School	87.1	1	88.7	1	1.6
KIPP DC - Lead Academy PCS	60.9	2	62.4	2	1.5
Latin American Montessori Bilingual PCS	83.3	1	84.8	1	1.5
DC Prep PCS - Benning Elementary School	67.4	1	68.9	1	1.5
Washington Yu Ying PCS	77.6	1	78.9	1	1.3
KIPP DC - Quest Academy PCS	71.2	1	72.4	1	1.2
KIPP DC - Heights Academy PCS	71.4	1	72.6	1	1.2
Mundo Verde Bilingual PCS	72.5	1	73.6	1	1.1
Achievement Preparatory Academy PCS - Wahler Place Elementary School	26.3	3	27.3	3	1.0
Harmony DC PCS - School of Excellence	38.5	2	39.4	2	0.9
Eagle Academy PCS - Capitol Riverfront	76.4	1	77.3	1	0.9
Inspired Teaching Demonstration PCS	58.6	2	59.4	2	0.8
DC Bilingual PCS	75.3	1	76.1	1	0.8
Creative Minds International PCS	52.6	2	53.3	2	0.7
E.L. Haynes PCS - Elementary School	73.2	1	73.9	1	0.7
Elsie Whitlow Stokes Community Freedom PCS	66.1	1	66.8	1	0.7
Friendship PCS - Chamberlain Elementary School	77.2	1	77.9	1	0.7
Early Childhood Academy PCS	79.3	1	79.9	1	0.6
Excel Academy PCS	44.8	2	45.4	2	0.6
DC Scholars PCS	65.1	1	65.7	1	0.6
Two Rivers PCS - 4th Street	73.7	1	74.2	1	0.5
Hope Community PCS - Lamond	47.2	2	47.7	2	0.5
Eagle Academy PCS - Congress Heights	60.0	2	60.5	2	0.5
Hope Community PCS - Tolson	54.6	2	55.0	2	0.4
DC Prep PCS - Edgewood Middle School	80.3	1	80.7	1	0.4
Perry Street Preparatory PCS	39.4	2	39.8	2	0.4
District of Columbia International School	76.1	1	76.4	1	0.3
Friendship PCS - Blow Pierce Elementary School	75.8	1	76.1	1	0.3
Washington Latin PCS - Middle School	89.4	1	89.7	1	0.3

School	2015-16	2015- 16 Tier	Proposed Score	Proposed Tier	Change
Democracy Prep Congress Heights PCS	40.8	2	41.0	2	0.2
Capital City PCS - Lower School	60.8	2	61.0	2	0.2
BASIS DC PCS	74.3	1	74.5	1	0.2
Friendship PCS - Armstrong	52.4	N/A	52.6	N/A	0.2
Center City PCS - Petworth	69.3	1	69.4	1	0.1
DC Prep PCS - Benning Middle School	65.3	1	65.4	1	0.1
KIPP DC - Northeast Academy PCS	74.7	1	74.8	1	0.1
Friendship PCS - Woodridge Elementary School	63.6	2	63.7	2	0.1
Capital City PCS - Middle School	57.4	2	57.5	2	0.1
City Arts & Prep PCS	49.4	2	49.5	2	0.1
Center City PCS - Brightwood	66.6	1	66.7	1	0.1
Bridges PCS	64.0	N/A	64.0	N/A	0.0
Cedar Tree Academy PCS	86.0	1	86.0	1	0.0
DC Prep PCS - Anacostia Elementary School	79.0	N/A	79.0	N/A	0.0
Ingenuity Prep PCS	63.7	2	63.7	2	0.0
KIPP DC - Arts and Technology Academy PCS	85.4	1	85.4	1	0.0
KIPP DC - Connect Academy PCS	91.9	1	91.9	1	0.0
KIPP DC - Discover Academy PCS	89.2	1	89.2	1	0.0
KIPP DC - Grow Academy PCS	75.8	1	75.8	1	0.0
KIPP DC - Spring Academy PCS	55.8	2	55.8	2	0.0
Lee Montessori PCS	67.1	N/A	67.1	N/A	0.0
Sela PCS	75.6	1	75.6	1	0.0
Two Rivers PCS - Young	47.2	N/A	47.2	N/A	0.0
Center City PCS - Shaw	69.0	1	69.0	1	0.0
Friendship PCS - Online	62.8	N/A	62.7	N/A	0.0
Center City PCS - Trinidad	32.7	3	32.6	3	-0.1
Friendship PCS - Woodridge Middle School	60.2	2	60.1	2	-0.1
KIPP DC - WILL Academy PCS	55.3	2	55.2	2	-0.1
Friendship PCS - Southeast Academy	44.0	2	43.9	2	-0.1
Roots PCS	46.2	2	46.1	2	-0.1
KIPP DC - KEY Academy PCS	65.5	1	65.4	1	-0.1
Friendship PCS - Blow Pierce Middle School	66.0	1	65.8	1	-0.2

School	2015-16	2015- 16 Tier	Proposed Score	Proposed Tier	Change
Mary McLeod Bethune Day Academy PCS	51.9	2	51.7	2	-0.2
Cesar Chavez PCS for Public Policy - Chavez Prep	37.9	2	37.7	2	-0.2
Center City PCS - Congress Heights	52.6	2	52.4	2	-0.2
Howard University Middle School of Mathematics and Science PCS	39.1	2	38.9	2	-0.2
Meridian PCS	41.8	2	41.5	2	-0.3
Paul PCS - Middle School	44.9	2	44.6	2	-0.3
KIPP DC - AIM Academy PCS	51.6	2	51.3	2	-0.3
Cesar Chavez PCS for Public Policy - Parkside Middle School	39.3	2	39.0	2	-0.3
E.L. Haynes PCS - Middle School	64.6	2	64.3	2	-0.3
Friendship PCS - Technology Preparatory Middle School	40.9	2	40.5	2	-0.4
Somerset Preparatory Academy PCS	34.7	3	34.3	3	-0.4
Friendship PCS - Chamberlain Middle School	62.1	2	61.7	2	-0.4
Achievement Preparatory Academy PCS - Wahler Place Middle School	38.3	2	37.9	2	-0.4
SEED PCS of Washington DC	38.8	2	38.4	2	-0.4
Washington Global PCS	35.0	N/A	34.5	N/A	-0.5
Shining Stars Montessori Academy PCS	60.4	2	59.8	2	-0.5
Center City PCS - Capitol Hill	39.4	2	38.7	2	-0.7
Ideal Academy PCS	38.8	2	38.1	2	-0.7
KIPP DC - Valor Academy PCS	46.3	N/A	45.5	N/A	-0.8

HS PMF Low Impact Analysis

Based on proposed change listed in Section A which have less impact compared to the 2015-16 HS PMF scores. On average, high school campuses gained 0.7% on their overall PMF scores and no school changed Tier; the maximum change to the overall PMF score was -2.3% to +3.9%.

Note: The HS PMF did not contain growth in 2015-16. While growth is expected to be applicable for ELA II and 10th grade geometry, growth was not included in the simulator to compare to 2015-16 scores.

Table by School Name

Campus	2015-16 Original PMF Score	2015-16 Original PMF Tier	Proposed PMF Score	Proposed PMF Tier	Change in Score
BASIS DC PCS	94.8	1	94.5	1	-0.3
Capital City PCS - High School	65.3	1	66.8	1	1.5
Cesar Chavez PCS for Public Policy - Capitol Hill	44.4	2	42.2	2	-2.2
Cesar Chavez PCS for Public Policy - Parkside High School	54.5	2	53.5	2	-1.0
E.L. Haynes PCS - High School	48.9	2	48.8	2	-0.1
Friendship PCS - Collegiate Academy	51.8	2	55.5	2	3.7
Friendship PCS - Technology Preparatory High School	50.1	2	51.6	2	1.5
IDEA PCS	42.2	2	40.8	2	-1.4
KIPP DC - College Preparatory Academy PCS	74.1	1	77.5	1	3.4
National Collegiate Preparatory PCHS	32.9	3	30.6	3	-2.3
Paul PCS - International High School	47.7	2	48.7	2	1.0
Richard Wright PCS for Journalism and Media Arts	39.2	2	37.4	2	-1.8
SEED PCS of Washington DC	54.5	2	55.1	2	0.6
Somerset Preparatory Academy PCS	41.0	2	42.5	2	1.5
Thurgood Marshall Academy PCS	70.6	1	71.2	1	0.6
Washington Latin PCS - Upper School	80.5	1	84.4	1	3.9
Washington Mathematics Science Technology PCHS	43.8	2	46.5	2	2.7

Table by Change in Score

Table by Change in Score					
Campus	2015-16 Original PMF Score	2015-16 Original PMF Tier	Proposed PMF Score	Proposed PMF Tier	Change in Score
Washington Latin PCS - Upper School	80.5	1	84.4	1	3.9
Friendship PCS - Collegiate Academy	51.8	2	55.5	2	3.7
KIPP DC - College Preparatory Academy PCS	74.1	1	77.5	1	3.4
Washington Mathematics Science Technology PCHS	43.8	2	46.5	2	2.7
Capital City PCS - High School	65.3	1	66.8	1	1.5
Somerset Preparatory Academy PCS	41.0	2	42.5	2	1.5
Friendship PCS - Technology Preparatory High School	50.1	2	51.6	2	1.5
Paul PCS - International High School	47.7	2	48.7	2	1.0
SEED PCS of Washington DC	54.5	2	55.1	2	0.6
Thurgood Marshall Academy PCS	70.6	1	71.2	1	0.6
E.L. Haynes PCS - High School	48.9	2	48.8	2	-0.1
BASIS DC PCS	94.8	1	94.5	1	-0.3
Cesar Chavez PCS for Public Policy - Parkside High School	54.5	2	53.5	2	-1.0
IDEA PCS	42.2	2	40.8	2	-1.4
Richard Wright PCS for Journalism and Media Arts	39.2	2	37.4	2	-1.8
Cesar Chavez PCS for Public Policy - Capitol Hill	44.4	2	42.2	2	-2.2
National Collegiate Preparatory PCHS	32.9	3	30.6	3	-2.3

Appendix C - Adult Education PMF Impact Analysis

Achievement Category

Based on the proposed Achievement measure change, two adult education schools would change Tier on Achievement but no schools would change their overall Tier due to the performance on the remaining categories. Please note that the denominator for this measure changed significantly in 2016-17 to only include students who score "Likely to Pass" on the GED Ready and take the corresponding GED Subject test.

Note: The 15-16 data uses the updated denominator business rules to only include the students who score GED Ready on all four subject tests and take all four GED Subject tests.

	1		ith Upda	ted		47.	10 D	_1
		Deno	ominator	ı	17-18 Proposed			
			Perce nt of				Percent of	
	N-		Range (0-	Achiev ement	N-		Range (40-	Achieve ment
Campus	Size	Rate	100)	Tier	Size	Rate	100)	Tier
Academy of Hope Adult PCS	5	NA	NA	NA	5	NA	NA	NA
Briya PCS	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Carlos Rosario International PCS	17	94.1	94.1%	1	17	94.1	90.2%	1
Community College Preparatory Academy PCS	3	NA	NA	NA	3	NA	NA	NA
LAYC Career Academy PCS	12	75.0	75.0%	1	12	75.0	58.3%	2
Maya Angelou PCS - Young Adult Learning Center	10	70	70.0%	1	10	70	50.0%	2
The Next Step/El Proximo Paso PCS	39	79.5	79.5%	1	39	79.5	65.8%	1
YouthBuild PCS	9	NA	NA	NA	9	NA	NA	NA

Progress Category

Based on the proposed Progress measure change that schools must pre- and posttest a minimum of 60% eligible students, one adult education school would change Tier on Progress due to not testing the minimum number of students but the school's overall Tier would not change.

LEA	SY 15-16 Total Students including in Progress	% Pre- Tested	% Post- tested	% Pre- tested But Not Post- Tested	SY 15-16 Student Progress Score	Student Progress Score with Proposed Change
Academy of Hope Adult PCS	539	99.3%	68.8%	30.4%	29.7%	29.7%
Briya PCS	546	99.5%	76.9%	22.5%	91.0%	91.0%

Carlos Rosario International PCS	2376	90.4%	79.0%	15.3%	74.6%	74.6%
Community College Preparatory Academy PCS	409	100.0%	86.1%	13.9%	70.0%	70.0%
LAYC Career Academy PCS	253	92.9%	62.8%	30.0%	46.2%	46.2%
Maya Angelou PCS	147	78.9%	54.4%	24.5%	25.9%	0.0%
The Next Step/El Proximo Paso PCS	416	100%	77.9%	22.1%	57.4%	57.4%
YouthBuild PCS	121	98.3%	87.6%	10.7%	47.7%	47.7%
Grand Total	4807	94.0%	76.8%	19.2%	N/A	N/A

Appendix D

This appendix includes the impact analysis of all the changes discussed with both the PK-8 and HS task forces that are proposed in this memo, sections A and B combined. The only change not included in this Appendix, is the change to PARCC Level 4+ targets. This was the original analysis conducted prior to the decision to propose the 90th percentile of performance for PARCC Level 4+ targets. This appendix was kept as a reference to the Board, staff, and task force members.

PK-8 PMF Comprehensive Impact Analysis

Based on all the proposed measures, weights, floors and targets except for the change to the PARCC Level 4+ targets, on average, schools lost $\underline{2.3\%}$ on their overall PMF scores; the maximum change to the overall PMF scores was -7.0 to +1.1%. This impact analysis contains all the changes proposed to the PK-8 PMF, from both sections A and B. While 11 schools will see a change in Tier, most of these were on the cusp of their Tier threshold.

Table by School Name

Campus	2015-16 Original PMF Score	2015-16 Original PMF Tier	Proposed PMF Score	Proposed PMF Tier	Change in Score
Achievement Preparatory Academy PCS - Wahler Place Elementary School	26.3	3	25.1	3	-1.2
Achievement Preparatory Academy PCS - Wahler Place Middle School	38.3	2	34.0	3	-4.3
BASIS DC PCS	74.3	1	73.7	1	-0.6
Bridges PCS	64.0	N/A	64.0	N/A	0.0
Capital City PCS - Lower School	60.8	2	58.0	2	-2.8
Capital City PCS - Middle School	57.4	2	53.9	2	-3.5
Cedar Tree Academy PCS	86.0	1	86.0	1	0.0
Center City PCS - Brightwood	66.6	1	63.9	2	-2.7
Center City PCS - Capitol Hill	39.4	2	35.0	2	-4.4
Center City PCS - Congress Heights	52.6	2	49.4	2	-3.2
Center City PCS - Petworth	69.3	1	66.8	1	-2.5
Center City PCS - Shaw	69.0	1	66.5	1	-2.5
Center City PCS - Trinidad	32.7	3	28.5	3	-4.2
Cesar Chavez PCS for Public Policy - Chavez Prep	37.9	2	32.9	3	-5.0
Cesar Chavez PCS for Public Policy - Parkside Middle School	39.3	2	34.2	3	-5.1
City Arts & Prep PCS	49.4	2	46.0	2	-3.4
Creative Minds International PCS	52.6	2	50.6	2	-2.0
DC Bilingual PCS	75.3	1	73.9	1	-1.4

Campus	2015-16 Original PMF Score	2015-16 Original PMF Tier	Proposed PMF Score	Proposed PMF Tier	Change in Score
DC Prep PCS - Anacostia Elementary School	79.0	N/A	79.0	N/A	0.0
DC Prep PCS - Benning Elementary School	67.4	1	67.8	1	0.4
DC Prep PCS - Benning Middle School	65.3	1	63.1	2	-2.2
DC Prep PCS - Edgewood Elementary School	87.1	1	88.2	1	1.1
DC Prep PCS - Edgewood Middle School	80.3	1	79.8	1	-0.5
DC Scholars PCS	65.1	1	63.0	2	-2.1
Democracy Prep Congress Heights PCS	40.8	2	37.2	2	-3.6
District of Columbia International School	76.1	1	74.3	1	-1.8
E.L. Haynes PCS - Elementary School	73.2	1	71.3	1	-1.9
E.L. Haynes PCS - Middle School	64.6	2	60.9	2	-3.7
Eagle Academy PCS - Capitol Riverfront	76.4	1	75.5	1	-0.9
Eagle Academy PCS - Congress Heights	60.0	2	58.7	2	-1.3
Early Childhood Academy PCS	79.3	1	78.5	1	-0.8
Elsie Whitlow Stokes Community Freedom PCS	66.1	1	64.8	2	-1.3
Excel Academy PCS	44.8	2	42.0	2	-2.8
Friendship PCS - Armstrong	52.4	N/A	48.6	N/A	-3.8
Friendship PCS - Blow Pierce Elementary School	75.8	1	74.4	1	-1.4
Friendship PCS - Blow Pierce Middle School	66.0	1	62.5	2	-3.5
Friendship PCS - Chamberlain Elementary School	77.2	1	76.2	1	-1.0
Friendship PCS - Chamberlain Middle School	62.1	2	58.5	2	-3.6
Friendship PCS - Online	62.8	N/A	59.7	N/A	-3.1
Friendship PCS - Southeast Academy	44.0	2	39.6	2	-4.4
Friendship PCS - Technology Preparatory Middle School	40.9	2	35.7	2	-5.2
Friendship PCS - Woodridge Elementary School	63.6	2	61.3	2	-2.3

Campus	2015-16 Original PMF Score	2015-16 Original PMF Tier	Proposed PMF Score	Proposed PMF Tier	Change in Score
Friendship PCS - Woodridge Middle School	60.2	2	56.8	2	-3.4
Harmony DC PCS - School of Excellence	38.5	2	35.4	2	-3.1
Hope Community PCS - Lamond	47.2	2	44.9	2	-2.3
Hope Community PCS - Tolson	54.6	2	52.3	2	-2.3
Howard University Middle School of Mathematics and Science PCS	39.1	2	35.1	2	-4.0
Ideal Academy PCS	38.8	2	34.5	3	-4.3
Ingenuity Prep PCS	63.7	2	63.7	2	0.0
Inspired Teaching Demonstration PCS	58.6	2	57.0	2	-1.6
KIPP DC - AIM Academy PCS	51.6	2	47.6	2	-4.0
KIPP DC - Arts and Technology Academy PCS	85.4	1	85.4	1	0.0
KIPP DC - Connect Academy PCS	91.9	1	91.9	1	0.0
KIPP DC - Discover Academy PCS	89.2	1	89.2	1	0.0
KIPP DC - Grow Academy PCS	75.8	1	75.8	1	0.0
KIPP DC - Heights Academy PCS	71.4	1	70.6	1	-0.8
KIPP DC - KEY Academy PCS	65.5	1	63.2	2	-2.3
KIPP DC - Lead Academy PCS	60.9	2	60.9	2	0.0
KIPP DC - Northeast Academy PCS	74.7	1	71.7	1	-3.0
KIPP DC - Promise Academy PCS	90.0	1	90.4	1	0.4
KIPP DC - Quest Academy PCS	71.2	1	69.6	1	-1.6
KIPP DC - Spring Academy PCS	55.8	2	55.8	2	0.0
KIPP DC - Valor Academy PCS	46.3	N/A	39.9	N/A	-6.4
KIPP DC - WILL Academy PCS	55.3	2	51.8	2	-3.5
Latin American Montessori Bilingual PCS	83.3	1	83.3	1	0.0
Lee Montessori PCS	67.1	N/A	67.1	N/A	0.0
Mary McLeod Bethune Day Academy PCS	51.9	2	48.1	2	-3.8
Meridian PCS	41.8	2	37.6	2	-4.2
Mundo Verde Bilingual PCS	72.5	1	71.5	1	-1.0
Paul PCS - Middle School	44.9	2	40.4	2	-4.5
Perry Street Preparatory PCS	39.4	2	36.0	2	-3.4
Roots PCS	46.2	2	43.7	2	-2.6
SEED PCS of Washington DC	38.8	2	33.0	3	-5.8
Sela PCS	75.6	1	75.6	1	0.0

Campus	2015-16 Original PMF Score	2015-16 Original PMF Tier	Proposed PMF Score	Proposed PMF Tier	Change in Score
Shining Stars Montessori Academy PCS	60.4	2	53.5	2	-6.9
Somerset Preparatory Academy PCS	34.7	3	29.4	3	-5.3
Two Rivers PCS - 4th Street	73.7	1	72.7	1	-1.0
Two Rivers PCS - Young	47.2	N/A	47.2	N/A	0.0
Washington Global PCS	35.0	N/A	28.0	N/A	-7.0
Washington Latin PCS - Middle School	89.4	1	88.6	1	-0.8
Washington Yu Ying PCS	77.6	1	77.8	1	0.2

Table by Change in Score

School	Score	Tier	Proposed	Proposed	Change
			Score	Tier	
DC Prep PCS - Edgewood Elementary			00.2		
School	87.1	1	88.2	1	1.1
DC Prep PCS - Benning Elementary School	67.4	1	67.8	1	0.4
KIPP DC - Promise Academy PCS	90.0	1	90.4	1	0.4
Washington Yu Ying PCS	77.6	1	77.8	1	0.2
Latin American Montessori Bilingual PCS	83.3	1	83.3	1	0.0
Bridges PCS	64.0	N/A	64.0	N/A	0.0
Cedar Tree Academy PCS	86.0	1	86.0	1	0.0
DC Prep PCS - Anacostia Elementary School	79.0	N/A	79.0	N/A	0.0
Ingenuity Prep PCS	63.7	2	63.7	2	0.0
KIPP DC - Arts and Technology Academy PCS	85.4	1	85.4	1	0.0
KIPP DC - Connect Academy PCS	91.9	1	91.9	1	0.0
KIPP DC - Discover Academy PCS	89.2	1	89.2	1	0.0
KIPP DC - Grow Academy PCS	75.8	1	75.8	1	0.0
KIPP DC - Spring Academy PCS	55.8	2	55.8	2	0.0
Lee Montessori PCS	67.1	N/A	67.1	N/A	0.0
Sela PCS	75.6	1	75.6	1	0.0
Two Rivers PCS - Young	47.2	N/A	47.2	N/A	0.0
KIPP DC - Lead Academy PCS	60.9	2	60.9	2	0.0
DC Prep PCS - Edgewood Middle School	80.3	1	79.8	1	-0.5
BASIS DC PCS	74.3	1	73.7	1	-0.6
Early Childhood Academy PCS	79.3	1	78.5	1	-0.8
Washington Latin PCS - Middle School	89.4	1	88.6	1	-0.8

School	Score	Tier	Proposed Score	Proposed Tier	Change
KIPP DC - Heights Academy PCS	71.4	1	70.6	1	-0.8
Eagle Academy PCS - Capitol Riverfront	76.4	1	75.5	1	-0.9
Friendship PCS - Chamberlain Elementary			76.2		
School	77.2	1	70.2	1	-1.0
Mundo Verde Bilingual PCS	72.5	1	71.5	1	-1.0
Two Rivers PCS - 4th Street	73.7	1	72.7	1	-1.0
Achievement Preparatory Academy PCS - Wahler Place Elementary School	26.3	3	25.1	3	-1.2
Elsie Whitlow Stokes Community Freedom PCS	66.1	1	64.8	2	-1.3
Eagle Academy PCS - Congress Heights	60.0	2	58.7	2	-1.3
Friendship PCS - Blow Pierce Elementary School	75.8	1	74.4	1	-1.4
DC Bilingual PCS	75.3	1	73.9	1	-1.4
KIPP DC - Quest Academy PCS	71.2	1	69.6	1	-1.6
Inspired Teaching Demonstration PCS	58.6	2	57.0	2	-1.6
District of Columbia International School	76.1	1	74.3	1	-1.8
E.L. Haynes PCS - Elementary School	73.2	1	71.3	1	-1.9
Creative Minds International PCS	52.6	2	50.6	2	-2.0
DC Scholars PCS	65.1	1	63.0	2	-2.1
DC Prep PCS - Benning Middle School	65.3	1	63.1	2	-2.2
Friendship PCS - Woodridge Elementary School	63.6	2	61.3	2	-2.3
Hope Community PCS - Tolson	54.6	2	52.3	2	-2.3
Hope Community PCS - Lamond	47.2	2	44.9	2	-2.3
KIPP DC - KEY Academy PCS	65.5	1	63.2	2	-2.3
Center City PCS - Petworth	69.3	1	66.8	1	-2.5
Center City PCS - Shaw	69.0	1	66.5	1	-2.5
Roots PCS	46.2	2	43.7	2	-2.6
Center City PCS - Brightwood	66.6	1	63.9	2	-2.7
Capital City PCS - Lower School	60.8	2	58.0	2	-2.8
Excel Academy PCS	44.8	2	42.0	2	-2.8
KIPP DC - Northeast Academy PCS	74.7	1	71.7	1	-3.0
Harmony DC PCS - School of Excellence	38.5	2	35.4	2	-3.1
Friendship PCS - Online	62.8	N/A	59.7	N/A	-3.1
Center City PCS - Congress Heights	52.6	2	49.4	2	-3.2
Perry Street Preparatory PCS	39.4	2	36.0	2	-3.4
Friendship PCS - Woodridge Middle School	60.2	2	56.8	2	-3.4
City Arts & Prep PCS	49.4	2	46.0	2	-3.4
Capital City PCS - Middle School	57.4	2	53.9	2	-3.5

School	Score	Tier	Proposed Score	Proposed Tier	Change
Friendship PCS - Blow Pierce Middle				Tiei	
School	66.0	1	62.5	2	-3.5
KIPP DC - WILL Academy PCS	55.3	2	51.8	2	-3.5
Democracy Prep Congress Heights PCS	40.8	2	37.2	2	-3.6
Friendship PCS - Chamberlain Middle School	62.1	2	58.5	2	-3.6
E.L. Haynes PCS - Middle School	64.6	2	60.9	2	-3.7
Mary McLeod Bethune Day Academy PCS	51.9	2	48.1	2	-3.8
Friendship PCS - Armstrong	52.4	N/A	48.6	N/A	-3.8
Howard University Middle School of Mathematics and Science PCS	39.1	2	35.1	2	-4.0
KIPP DC - AIM Academy PCS	51.6	2	47.6	2	-4.0
Meridian PCS	41.8	2	37.6	2	-4.2
Center City PCS - Trinidad	32.7	3	28.5	3	-4.2
Achievement Preparatory Academy PCS - Wahler Place Middle School	38.3	2	34.0	3	-4.3
Ideal Academy PCS	38.8	2	34.5	3	-4.3
Center City PCS - Capitol Hill	39.4	2	35.0	2	-4.4
Friendship PCS - Southeast Academy	44.0	2	39.6	2	-4.4
Paul PCS - Middle School	44.9	2	40.4	2	-4.5
Cesar Chavez PCS for Public Policy - Chavez Prep	37.9	2	32.9	3	-5.0
Cesar Chavez PCS for Public Policy - Parkside Middle School	39.3	2	34.2	3	-5.1
Friendship PCS - Technology Preparatory Middle School	40.9	2	35.7	2	-5.2
Somerset Preparatory Academy PCS	34.7	3	29.4	3	-5.3
SEED PCS of Washington DC	38.8	2	33.0	3	-5.8
KIPP DC - Valor Academy PCS	46.3	N/A	39.9	N/A	-6.4
Shining Stars Montessori Academy PCS	60.4	2	53.5	2	-6.9
Washington Global PCS	35.0	N/A	28.0	N/A	-7.0

HS PMF Comprehensive Impact Analysis

Based on all the proposed measures, weights, floors and targets except for the change in PARCC Level 4+ targets, two high schools would change Tiers. On average, high school campuses lost 2.9% on their overall PMF scores; the maximum change to the overall PMF scores was -8.0 to +4.9%.

Note: The HS PMF did not contain growth in 2015-16. While growth is expected to be applicable for ELA II and 10^{th} grade geometry, growth was not included in the simulator to compare to 2015-16 scores.

Table by School Name

School Name	2015-16 Original PMF Score	2015-16 Original PMF Tier	Proposed PMF Score	Proposed PMF Tier	Change in Score
BASIS DC PCS	94.8	1	94.9	1	0.1
Capital City PCS - High School	65.3	1	61.8	2	-3.5
Cesar Chavez PCS for Public Policy - Capitol Hill	44.4	2	36.7	2	-7.7
Cesar Chavez PCS for Public Policy - Parkside High School	54.5	2	50.2	2	-4.3
E.L. Haynes PCS - High School	48.9	2	44.9	2	-4.0
Friendship PCS - Collegiate Academy	51.8	2	51.6	2	-0.2
Friendship PCS - Technology Preparatory High School	50.1	2	48.7	2	-1.4
IDEA PCS	42.2	2	35.5	2	-6.7
KIPP DC - College Preparatory Academy PCS	74.1	1	73.2	1	-0.9
National Collegiate Preparatory PCHS	32.9	3	24.9	3	-8.0
Paul PCS - International High School	47.7	2	43.8	2	-3.9
Richard Wright PCS for Journalism and Media Arts	39.2	2	32.4	3	-6.8
SEED PCS of Washington DC	54.5	2	53.9	2	-0.6
Somerset Preparatory Academy PCS	41.0	2	36.4	2	-4.6
Thurgood Marshall Academy PCS	70.6	1	69.8	1	-0.8
Washington Latin PCS - Upper School	80.5	1	85.4	1	4.9
Washington Mathematics Science Technology PCHS	43.8	2	42.8	2	-1.0

Table by Change in Score

School Name	2015-16 Original PMF Score	2015-16 Original PMF Tier	Proposed PMF Score	Proposed PMF Tier	Change in Score
Washington Latin PCS - Upper School	80.5	1	85.4	1	4.9
BASIS DC PCS	94.8	1	94.9	1	0.1
Friendship PCS - Collegiate Academy	51.8	2	51.6	2	-0.2
SEED PCS of Washington DC	54.5	2	53.9	2	-0.6
Thurgood Marshall Academy PCS	70.6	1	69.8	1	-0.8
KIPP DC - College Preparatory Academy PCS	74.1	1	73.2	1	-0.9
Washington Mathematics Science Technology PCHS	43.8	2	42.8	2	-1.0

School Name	2015-16 Original PMF Score	2015-16 Original PMF Tier	Proposed PMF Score	Proposed PMF Tier	Change in Score
Friendship PCS - Technology		_		_	
Preparatory High School	50.1	2	48.7	2	-1.4
Capital City PCS - High School	65.3	1	61.8	2	-3.5
Paul PCS - International High School	47.7	2	43.8	2	-3.9
E.L. Haynes PCS - High School	48.9	2	44.9	2	-4.0
Cesar Chavez PCS for Public Policy -					
Parkside High School	54.5	2	50.2	2	-4.3
Somerset Preparatory Academy PCS	41.0	2	36.4	2	-4.6
IDEA PCS	42.2	2	35.5	2	-6.7
Richard Wright PCS for Journalism and					
Media Arts	39.2	2	32.4	3	-6.8
Cesar Chavez PCS for Public Policy -					
Capitol Hill	44.4	2	36.7	2	-7.7
National Collegiate Preparatory PCHS	32.9	3	24.9	3	-8.0